UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,368	10/16/2000	Tracey L. Jones	1005.11	3107
53953 DAVIS LAW (	7590 05/12/200 GROUP, P.C.	EXAMINER		
6836 BEE CAV		LUDWIG, MATTHEW J		
SUITE 220 AUSTIN, TX 78746			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/690,368	JONES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MATTHEW J. LUDWIG	2178				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ma</u>	arch 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-10,13-18,21-24,26,28-30,32,34-</u>	36,38,40-42 and 46-51 is/are per	nding in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 5-10, 13-18, 21-24, 26, 28-30, 32, 34-36, 38, 40-42, 46-51</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/690,368 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination received 3/3/2009.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 13-18, 21-24, 26, 28-30, 32, 34-36, 38, 40-42, and 46-51 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 9, and 17 are independent claims.
- 3. The rejection of claims, 2, 5-10, 13-18, 21-24, 26, 28-30, 32, 34-36, 38, 40-42, and 46-51 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ono et al., have been withdrawn pursuant to applicant's amendments.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 13-18, 21-24, 26, 28-30, 32, 34-36, 38, 40-42, 46-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rosenoff et al., USPN 7,003,719 filed 1/25/1999.

In reference to independent claim 1, Rosenoff teaches:

'storing an electronic version of a paper, the electronic version being displayed on a display device as a likeness of a paper

operation associated with the second location'.

at a first location within the electronic version, detecting a reference to a second location, wherein the second location is external to the paper, and wherein the detected reference is at least one of the following, other than a network address: an alphanumeric character, a symbol; a term; and a phrase;

in response to the detected reference at the first location, embedding a hyperlink within at least part of the detected reference at the first location, wherein the hyperlink is selectable at the first location by a user to cause an operation associated with the second location; and while the first location is displayed on the display device, highlighting the first location to indicate the hyperlink as being selectable at the first location by the user to cause the

A means of manipulating documents through a processor such as letters, resumes, research papers, and legal briefs. The software automatically locates and marks specific portions of a document and defines hyperlinks including at least a portion of the marked text. See column 1, lines 13-29 and column 2, lines 40-67.

The reference further teaches a means of detection at a first location terms that refer to a second location that is external to the document. The detected reference is found through the use of a tokenizer which encapsulates information within the found object. See figure 2 and column 6, lines 29-67.

The link builder object as described in the reference to Rosenoff discloses a means of defining and inserting hyperlinks into the detected reference at the first location. See column 9, lines 34-67 and column 14, lines 35-67. A selection of the hyperlink would cause an operation related to the second location.

Art Unit: 2178

The exemplary document illustrated in figure 1 includes portions which have been marked, for example, visibly in contrasting color or font, to signify its association with an existing hyperlink. See column 4, lines 50-67.

### In response to dependent claim 2, Rosenoff teaches:

When a citation is located, the content-finder object creates a found object, which encapsulates the information for the found citation, and passes the found object to the client via a content-finder event object through an outgoing event interface. The client can indicate what types of cites they want to locate, as well as setting other options that control how the cites are located. See column 6, lines 45-67 and column 7, lines 1-67.

## In reference to dependent claim 5, Rosenoff teaches:

Short forms are considered any citation that is referenced using the terms id, ibid, infra or supra. Short-form list also stores the location of each short form reference in the document. See column 9, lines 45-67.

#### In reference to dependent claim 6 and 7, Rosenoff teaches:

General examples of suitable document-processing software include word-processing programs, HTML-editing programs, spread-sheet programs, presentation-development programs, browsing programs, which allow for manipulations of documents such as minimizing and maximizing documents. See column 4, lines 26-49.

#### In reference to dependent claim 8, Rosenoff teaches:

The exemplary document illustrated in figure 1 includes portions which have been marked, for example, visibly in contrasting color or font, to signify its association with an existing hyperlink. See column 4, lines 50-67.

In reference to independent claims 9, 10, 13-16, the limitations recite language related to a system for performing similar steps as those found in claims 1, 2, 5-8, respectively. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

In reference to independent claims 17, 18, 21-24, the limitations recite language related to a computer program product for performing similar steps as those found in claims 1, 2, 5-8, respectively. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

## In reference to dependent claim 26, Rosenoff teaches:

A means of identifying page numbers related to reference locations. See column 7, lines 5-67.

#### In reference to dependent claim 28, Rosenoff teaches:

In the HTML implementation, the integration object creates the HTML tokenizer and passes it into the citefinder object. The HTML implementation is utilized to translate a first version of a citation into an electronic version which contains links to specific content. See column 5, lines 21-67.

#### In reference to dependent claim 29, Rosenoff teaches:

The integration object takes the URL from the link-builder object and instructs document processing software to insert a hyperlink including the URL over the range of text encompassing the foundation. The method continues with activities related to generating a table of authorities or other found content collection and creates a table of authorities. See column 14, lines 51-67.

Application/Control Number: 09/690,368 Page 6

Art Unit: 2178

In reference to dependent claim 30, Rosenoff teaches:

The exemplary document illustrated in figure 1 includes portions which have been marked, for example, visibly in contrasting color or font, to signify its association with an existing hyperlink. See column 4, lines 50-67.

In reference to dependent claim 32, Rosenoff teaches:

A means of identifying page numbers related to reference locations. See column 7, lines 5-67.

In reference to dependent claim 34, 35, 36, 38, 40-42, the limitations recite language related to a system for performing similar steps as those found in claims 1, 2, 5-8, 21-24, respectively.

Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

In reference to dependent claim 46, 49, 50, Rosenoff teaches:

If a statute-like publication has not already been round, then the processor checks to see if this is a cite that starts with a statute keyword. The processor tries to locate a valid statute keyword. Further statute processing entails trying to match all completed statute like cites to those found in the match cite list. See column 13, lines 25-67.

In reference to dependent claim 47, 49, 51 Rosenoff teaches:

A means of manipulating documents through a processor such as letters, resumes, research papers, and legal briefs. The software automatically locates and marks specific portions of a document and defines hyperlinks including at least a portion of the marked text. See column 1, lines 13-29 and column 2, lines 40-67.

The reference further teaches a means of detection at a first location terms that refer to a second location that is external to the document. The detected reference is found through the use

of a tokenizer which encapsulates information within the found object. See figure 2 and column 6, lines 29-67.

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1, 4-6, 9-11, 14-15, 18-20, 23-25, 28-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant amended the claims and introduced new language into the independent claims thus changing the scope of the invention when the claims are read as a whole. The rejections of the claims have been modified to adjust for the newly added language.

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW J. LUDWIG whose telephone number is (571)272-4127. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/690,368

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen S. Hong/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178

Page 8

ML